<u> Source of this information</u>

The historical documents referring to Christ's life and work may be divided into three classes: <a href="pagento-p

Pagan sources

The non-Christian sources for the historical truth of the Gospels are both few and polluted by hatred and prejudice. A number of reasons have been advanced for this condition of the pagan

sources:

- The field of the Gospel history was remote Galilee;
- the <u>Jews</u> were noted as a <u>superstitious</u> race, if we believe Horace (*Credat Judoeus Apella* , I, Sat., v, 100);
 - the God of the Jews was unknown and unintelligible to most pagans of that period;
- the <u>Jews</u> in whose midst <u>Christianity</u> had taken its origin were dispersed among, and hated

by, all the

pagan

nations:

- the <u>Christian religion</u> itself was often confounded with one of the many <u>sects</u> that had sprung up in

Judaism

, and which could not excite the interest of the

pagan

spectator.

It is at least certain that neither <u>Jews</u> nor <u>Gentiles</u> suspected in the least the paramount importance of the religion, the rise of which they witnessed among them. These considerations will account for the rarity and the asperity with which <u>Christian</u>

```
Written by W.J.Pais
```

events are mentioned by

pagan

authors. But though

Gentile

writers do not give us any information about Christ and the early stages of

Christianity

which we do not possess in the Gospels, and though their statements are made with unconcealed

hatred

and contempt, still they unwittingly prove the historical value of the facts related by the Evangelists

.

We need not delay over a writing entitled the "Acts of Pilate", which must have existed in the second century (<u>Justin</u>, "Apol"., I, 35), and must have been used in the <u>pagan</u> <u>schools</u> to warn boys against the

belief

of

Christians

(

<u>Eusebius</u>

Church History

1.9

1.8

Church History

IX.5

); nor need we inquire into the question whether there existed any authentic census tables of Quirinius.

Tacitus

We possess at least the testimony of Tacitus (A.D. 54-119) for the statements that the <u>Found</u> er of the <u>Christian religion</u>

, a deadly

superstition

in the eyes of the Romans, had been

put to death

by the

procurator

Pontius Pilate

under the reign of

<u>Tiberius</u>

; that His religion, though suppressed for a time, broke forth again not only throughout Judea

where it had originated, but even in

Rome

, the conflux of all the streams of

wickedness

and shamelessness; furthermore, that

Nero

had diverted from himself the suspicion of the burning of

Rome

by charging the

Christians

with the crime; that these latter were not guilty of arson, though they deserved their fate on account of their universal misanthropy. Tacitus, moreover, describes some of the horrible torments to which

Nero

subjected the

Christians

(Ann., XV, xliv). The Roman writer confounds the

Christians

with the

Jews

, considering them as a especially abject Jewish

sect

; how little he investigated the historical

truth

of even the Jewish records may be inferred from the credulity with which he accepted the absurd legends and

calumnies

about the origin of he Hebrew people (Hist., V, iii, iv).

Suetonius

Another Roman writer who shows his acquaintance with Christ and the <u>Christians</u> is Suetonius (A.D. 75-160). It has been noted that Suetonius considered Christ (Chrestus) as a Roman insurgent who stirred up seditions under the reign of Claudius (A.D. 41-54): "Judaeos, impulsore Chresto, assidue tumultuantes (Claudius) Roma expulit" (Clau., xxv). In his life of

Nero

he regards that emperor as a public benefactor on account of his severe treatment of the Christians

: "Multa sub eo et animadversa severe, et coercita, nec minus instituta afflicti Christiani, genus hominum superstitious novae et maleficae" (Nero, xvi). The Roman writer does not understand that the Jewish troubles arose from the Jewish antagonism to the Messianic

```
Written by W.J.Pais
```

```
character of

Jesus Christ

and to the

rights

of the

Christian Church
```

Pliny the Younger

Of greater importance is the letter of Pliny the Younger to the <u>Emperor Trajan</u> (about A.D. 61-115), in which the Governor of Bithynia consults his imperial majesty as to how to deal with the <u>Christians</u>

living within his

jurisdiction

. On the one hand, their lives were confessedly innocent; no crime could be

proved

against them excepting their

Christian

belief

, which appeared to the Roman as an extravagant and perverse

superstition

. On the other hand, the

Christians

could not be shaken in their allegiance to Christ, Whom they celebrated as their God

in their early morning meetings (Ep., X, 97, 98).

Christianity

here appears no longer as a religion of criminals, as it does in the texts of Tacitus and Suetonius; Pliny acknowledges the high moral principles of the

Christians

```
, admires their constancy in the Faith (
pervicacia et inflexibilis obstinatio
), which he appears to trace back to their worship of Christ (
carmenque Christo, quasi Deo, dicere
).
```

Other pagan writers

The remaining <u>pagan</u> witnesses are of less importance: In the second century Lucian sneered at Christ and the <u>Christians</u>, as he scoffed at the <u>pagan</u> gods. He alludes to

Christ's death on the Cross

```
, to His
miracles
  to the mutual
love
 prevailing among the
Christians
 ("Philopseudes", nn. 13, 16; "De Morte Pereg"). There are also alleged allusions to Christ in
Numenius (
Origen
Against Celsus
 IV.51
), to His parables in Galerius, to the earthquake at the Crucifixion in Phlegon (
Origen
Against Celsus
 II.14
). Before the end of the second century, the
logos alethes
 of Celsus, as quoted by
Origen
Contra Celsus
passim
), testifies that at that time the facts related in the Gospels were generally accepted as
historically
true
. However scanty the
 sources of the life of Christ may be, they bear at least testimony to His existence, to His
miracles
  His parables, His claim to Divine worship, His death on the Cross, and to the more striking
characteristics of His religion.
Jewish sources
 Philo
Philo, who dies after A.D. 40, is mainly important for the light he throws on certain modes of
```

```
thought and phraseology found again in some of the

History

II.4

indeed preserves a legend that

Philo

Apostles . Eusebius ( Church

- )
```

Written by W.J.Pais

had met St. Peter in

Rome

during his mission to the Emperor Caius; moreover, that in his work on the contemplative life he describes the life of the

Christian Church in Alexandria

founded by St. Mark, rather than that of the

Essenes

and Therapeutae. But it is hardly probable that

Philo

had heard enough of Christ and His followers to give an historical foundation to the foregoing legends.

Josephus

The earlist non-Christian writer who refers Christ is the Jewish historian Flavius Josephus; born A.D. 37, he was a contemporary of the Apostles, and died in

Rome

A.D. 94. Two passages in his "Antiquities" which confirm two facts of the

inspired Christian records

are not disputed. In the one he reports the

murder

of

"John called Baptist"

by

Herod

(Ant., XVIII, v, 2), describing also John's character and work; in the other (Ant., XX, ix, 1) he disapproves of the sentence pronounced by the

high priest

Ananus against "James,

brother of Jesus

Who was called Christ." It is antecedently probable that a writer so well informed as Josephus

, must have been well acquainted too with the

doctrine

and the history of

Jesus Christ

- . Seeing, also, that he records events of minor importance in the history of the Jews
- , it would be surprising if he were to keep silence about

Jesus Christ

. Consideration for the

priests

and

Pharisees

did not prevent him from mentioning the judicial

murders

of

John the Baptist

and the Apostle James; his endeavour to find the fulfilment of the

Messianic

prophecies in

Vespasian

did not induce him to pass in silence over several Jewish

sects

, though their tenets appear to be inconsistent with the

Vespasian

claims. One naturally expects, therefore, a notice about

Jesus Christ

in

Josephus

.

Antiquities

XVIII, iii, 3, seems to satisfy this expectation:

About this time appeared <u>Jesus</u>, a wise man (if indeed it is right to call Him man; for He was a worker of astonishing deeds, a teacher of such men as receive the

truth

with

iov

), and He drew to Himself many

Jews

(many also of Greeks. This was the Christ.) And when

Pilate

, at the denunciation of those that are foremost among us, had condemned Him to the cross, those who had first

loved

Him did not abandon Him (for He appeared to them alive again on the third day, the holy prophets

having foretold this and countless other marvels about Him.) The tribe of Christians

named after Him did not cease to this day.

A testimony so important as the foregoing could not escape the work of the critics. Their conclusions may be reduced to three headings: those who consider the passage wholly spurious; those who consider it to be wholly authentic; and those who consider it to be a little of each.

Written by W.J.Pais

Those who regard the passage as spurious

First, there are those who consider the whole passage as spurious. The principal reasons for this view appear to be the following:

- <u>Josephus</u> could not represent <u>Jesus Christ</u> as a simple moralist, and on the other hand he could not emphasize the <u>Messianic</u>

prophecies and expectations without offending the Roman susceptibilities;

- the above cited passage from <u>Josephus</u> is said to be unknown to <u>Origen</u> and the earlier patristic writers;
- its very place in the Josephan text is uncertain, since <u>Eusebius</u> (<u>Church History</u> II.6

) must have found it before the notices concerning Pilate

, while it now stands after them.

But the spuriousness of the disputed Josephan passage does not imply the historian's ignor ance

of the facts connected with

Jesus Christ

Josephus's

report of his own juvenile precocity before the Jewish teachers (Vit., 2) reminds one of the story of

Christ's

stay in the Temple at the age of twelve; the description of his shipwreck on his journey to Rome

(Vit., 3) recalls

St. Paul's

shipwreck as told in the Acts; finally his arbitrary introduction of a deceit practised by the priests

of Isis on a Roman lady, after the chapter containing his supposed allusion to Jesus

, shows a disposition to explain away the virgin birth of Jesus

and to prepare the falsehoods embodied in the later Jewish writings.

Those who regard the passage as authentic, with some spurious additions

A second class of critics do not regard the whole of <u>Josephus's</u> testimony concerning Christ

as spurious but they maintain the interpolation of parts included above in parenthesis. The reasons assigned for this opinion may be reduced to the following two:

- <u>Josephus</u> must have mentioned <u>Jesus</u>, but he cannot have recognized Him as the Christ; hence part of our present Josephan text must be genuine, part must be interpolated.
- Again, the same conclusion follows from the fact that <u>Origen</u> <u>knew</u> a Josephan text about <u>Jesus</u>, but was not acquainted with our present reading; for, according to the great Alexandrian doctor,

<u>Josephus</u>

did not believe that

Jesus

was the

Messias

("In Matth.", xiii, 55;

Against Celsus

<u>l.47</u>

).

Whatever force these two arguments have is lost by the fact that <u>Josephus</u> did not write for the <u>Jews</u> but

for the Romans; consequently, when he says, "This was the Christ", he does not necessarily imply that

Jesus

was the Christ considered by the Romans as the founder of the Christian religion

•

Those who consider it to be completely genuine

The third class of scholars believe that the whole passage concerning <u>Jesus</u>, as it is found today in <u>Joseph</u>

<u>us</u>

, is

genuine. The main arguments for the genuineness of the Josephan passage are the following:

- First, all <u>codices</u> or <u>manuscripts</u> of <u>Josephus's</u> work contain the text in question; to maintain the spuriousness of the text, we must suppose that all the copies of <u>Josephus</u>

were in the hands of

Christians

- , and were changed in the same way.
 - Second, it is true that neither Tertullian nor St. Justin makes use of Josephus's

```
Written by W.J.Pais
```

```
passage concerning
Jesus
; but this silence is probably due to the contempt with which the contemporary
Jews
 regarded
Josephus
, and to the relatively little authority he had among the Roman readers. Writers of the age of
Tertullian
and
Justin
could appeal to living witnesses of the
Apostolic tradition
  - Third, Eusebius ("Hist. Eccl"., I, xi; cf. "Dem. Ev.", III, v) Sozomen (Church History
1.1
), Niceph. (Hist. Eccl., I, 39),
Isidore of Pelusium
 (Ep. IV, 225),
St. Jerome
(catal.script. eccles. xiii),
Ambrose
Cassiodorus
, etc., appeal to the testimony of
Josephus
; there must have been no
doubt
 as to its authenticity at the time of these illustrious writers.
  - Fourth, the complete silence of <u>Josephus</u> as to <u>Jesus</u> would have been a more
eloquent testimony than we possess in his present text; this latter contains no statement
incompatible with its Josephan authorship: the Roman reader needed the information that
Jesus
was the Christ, or the founder of the
Christian religion
; the wonderful works of
Jesus
and His
Resurrection from the dead
were so incessantly urged by the
Christians
that without these attributes the Josephan
Jesus
would hardly have been acknowledged as the founder of
Christianity
```

All this does not necessarily imply that <u>Josephus</u> regarded <u>Jesus</u> as the Jewish <u>Messia</u> ; but, even if he had been convinced of His Messiahship, it does not follow that he would have become a

Christian

. A number of possible subterfuges might have supplied the Jewish historian with apparently sufficient reasons for not embracing

Christianity

Other Jewish sources

The historical character of <u>Jesus Christ</u> is also attested by the hostile Jewish literature of the subsequent centuries. His birth is ascribed to an illicit ("Acta Pilati" in Thilo, "Codex apocryph. N.T., I, 526; cf. <u>Justin</u>, "Apol.", I, 35), or even an adulterous, union of His <u>parents</u>

(Origen

Against Celsus I.28 and I.32

). The father's name is Panthera, a common soldier (Gemara "Sanhedrin", viii; "Schabbath", xii, cf. Eisenmenger, "Entdecktes Judenthum", I, 109; Schottgen, "Horae Hebraicae", II, 696; Buxtorf, "Lex. Chald.", Basle, 1639, 1459, Huldreich, "Sepher toledhoth yeshua hannaceri", Leyden, 1705). The last work in its final edition did not appear before the thirteenth century, so that it could give the Panthera myth in its most advanced form. Rosch is of opinion that the myth did not begin before the end of the first century.

The later Jewish writings show traces of acquaintance with the <u>murder</u> of the <u>Holy Innocents</u> (Wagenseil, "Confut. Libr. Toldoth", 15; Eisenmenger op. cit., I, 116; Schottgen, op. cit., II, 667), with the flight into

```
<u>Egypt</u>
```

(cf.

Jos<u>ephus</u>

, "Ant." XIII, xiii), with the stay of

Jesus

in the Temple at the age of twelve (Schottgen, op. cit., II, 696), with the call of the disciples ("Sanhedrin", 43a; Wagenseil, op. cit., 17; Schottgen, loc. cit., 713), with His miracles

<u>Origen</u>

```
Against Celsus
 II.48
; Wagenseil, op. cit., 150; Gemara "Sanhedrin" fol. 17); "Schabbath", fol. 104b; Wagenseil,
op. cit., 6, 7, 17), with His claim to be
God
Origen
Against Celsus
 1.28
; cf. Eisenmenger, op. cit., I, 152; Schottgen, loc. cit., 699) with His betrayal by
Judas
and His death (
Origen
 "Contra cels.", II, 9, 45, 68, 70; Buxtorf, op. cit., 1458; Lightfoot, "Hor. Heb.", 458, 490, 498;
Eisenmenger, loc. cit., 185; Schottgen, loc. cit., 699 700; cf. "Sanhedrin", vi, vii). Celsus (
Origen
Against Celsus
 11.55
) tries to throw
doubt
on the
Resurrection
  while Toldoth (cf. Wagenseil, 19) repeats the Jewish fiction that the body of
Jesus
 had been
stolen
 from the sepulchre.
```

Christian sources

```
Among the Christian sources of the life of Jesus we need hardly mention the so called Agrapha and Apocrypha.

For whether the Agrapha contain Logia of Jesus, or refer to incidents in His life, they are either highly uncertain or present only variations of the Gospel story. The chief value of the Apocrypha consists in their showing the
```

```
infinite
```

superiority of the Inspired Writings by contrasting the coarse and

erroneous

productions of the

<u>human</u>

mind

with the simple and sublime

truths

written under the inspiration of the Holy Ghost.

Among the Sacred Books of the New Testament, it is especially the four Gospels and the four great Epistles of St. Paul that are of the highest importance for the construction of the life of Jesus

The four great Pauline Epistles (<u>Romans</u>, <u>Galatians</u>, and <u>First and Second Corinthinas</u>) can hardly be overestimated by the student of <u>Christ's</u>

life; they have at times been called the "fifth gospel"; their authenticity has never been assailed by serious critics; their testimony is also earlier than that of the Gospels, at least most of the Gospels; it is the more valuable because it is incidental and undesigned; it is the testimony of a highly

intellectual

and cultured writer, who had been the greatest enemy of Jesus

, who writes within twenty-five years of the events which he relates. At the same time, these four great Epistles bear witness to all the most important facts in the life of Christ: His Davidic descent, His poverty, His Messiahship, His moral teaching, His preaching of the kingdom of God

, His calling of the apostles, His

miraculous power

. His claims to be

God

, His betrayal, His institution of the

Holy Eucharist

, His passion, crucifixion, burial,

resurrection

, His repeated appearances (

Romans 1:3-4

, <u>5:11</u>

:

Written by W.J.Pais

```
8:2-3;
8:32;
9:5;
15:8;
Galatians 2:17;
3:13;
4:4;
5:21;
1 Corinthians 6:9;
```

; etc.). However important the four great Epistles may be, the gospels are still more so. Not that any one of them offers a complete biography of

Jesus

, but they account for the origin of

Christianity

by the life of its

Founder

. Questions like the authenticity of the Gospels, the relation between the Synoptic Gospels, and the Fourth, the Synoptic problem, must be studied in the articles referring to these respective subjects.