Written by W.J.Pais

Little is recorded of St. Thomas the Apostle, nevertheless thanks to the fourth Gospel his personality is clearer to us than that of some others of the Twelve . His name occurs in all the lists of the **Synoptists** Matthew 10:3 Mark 3:18 Luke 6 , cf. Acts 1:13), but in St. John he plays a distinctive part. First, when Jesus announced His intention of returning to Judea to visit Lazarus, "Thomas" who is called Didymus [the twin], said to his fellow disciples: "Let us also go, that we may die with him" (John 11:16). Again it was St. Thomas who during the discourse before the Last Supper raised an objection: "Thomas saith to him: Lord, we know not whither thou goest; and how can we know the way?" (John 14:5). But more especially St. Thomas is remembered for his incredulity when the other Apostles announced Christ's Resurrection to him: "Except I shall see in his hands the print of the nails, and put my finger into the place of the nails, and put my hand into his side, I will not believe" (John 20:25); but eight days later he made his act of faith drawing down the rebuke of Jesus : "Because thou hast seen me, Thomas, thou hast believed; blessed are they that have not seen, and have believed" (John 20:29

Written by W.J.Pais

).

This exhausts all our certain <u>knowledge</u> regarding the Apostle but his name is the starting point of a considerable <u>apocryphal</u> literature, and

there are also certain historical data which suggest that some of this

apocryphal

material may contains germs of

<u>truth</u>

. The principal document concerning him is the

"Acta Thomae"

, preserved to us with some variations both in Greek and in Syriac, and bearing unmistakeable signs of its

Gnostic

origin. It may indeed be the work of

Bardesanes

himself. The story in many of its particulars is utterly extravagant, but it is the early <u>date</u>

, being assigned by Harnack (Chronologie, ii, 172) to the beginning of the third century, before A.D. 220. If the place of its origin is really

<u>Edessa</u>

, as Harnack and others for sound reasons supposed (ibid., p. 176), this would lend considerable probability to the statement, explicitly made in "Acta" (Bonnet, cap. 170, p. 286), that the

relics

of Apostle Thomas, which we

<u>know</u>

to have been

venerated

at

<u>Edessa</u>

, had really come from the East. The extravagance of the legend may be judged from the fact that in more than one place (cap. 31, p. 148) it represents Thomas (Judas Thomas, as he is called here and elsewhere in Syriac tradition) as the twin brother of

<u>Jesus</u>

. The Thomas in Syriac is equivalant to

didymos

in Greek, and means twin. Rendel Harris who exaggerates very much the cult of the Dioscuri, wishes to regards this as a transformation of a

<u>pagan</u>

worship of

<u>Edessa</u>

but the point is at best problematical. The story itself runs briefly as follows: At the division of the Apostles,

<u>India</u>

Written by W.J.Pais

fell to the lot of Thomas, but he declared his inability to go, whereupon his Master Jesus

appeared in a

<u>supernatural</u>

way to Abban, the envoy of Gundafor, an Indian king, and sold Thomas to him to be his slave and serve Gundafor as a carpenter. Then Abban and Thomas sailed away until they came to Andrapolis, where they landed and attended the marriage feast of the ruler's daughter. Strange occurrences followed and

Christ

under the appearance of Thomas exhorted the bride to remain a Virgin. Coming to India

Thomas undertook to build a palace for Gundafor, but spend the money entrusted to him on the poor. Gundafor

imprisoned

him; but the Apostle escaped

miraculously

and Gundafor was converted. Going about the country to preach, Thomas met with strange adventures from dragons and wild asses. Then he came to the city of King Misdai (Syriac Mazdai), where he converted Tertia the wife of Misdai and Vazan his son. After this he was condemed to death, led out of city to a hill, and pierced through with spears by four soldiers. He was

buried

in the

<u>tomb</u>

of the ancient kings but his remains were afterwards removed to the West.

Now it is certainly a remarkable fact that about the year A.D. 46 a king was reigning over that part of <u>Asia</u> south of Himalayas now represented by Afghanistan, Baluchistan, the Punjab, and Sind, who bore the name Gondophernes or Guduphara. This we <u>know</u> both from the discovery of

<u>coins</u>

, some of the Parthian type with Greek legends, others of the Indian types with the legends in an Indian dialect in Kharoshthi characters. Despite sundry minor variations the identity of the name with the Gundafor of the

"Acta Thomae"

is unmistakable and is hardly disputed. Further we have the evidence of the Takht-i-Bahi inscription, which is dated and which the best specialists accept as establishing the King Gunduphara probably began to reign about A.D. 20 and was still reigning in 46. Again there are excellent reasons for

believing

that Misdai or Mazdai may well be transformation of a Hindu

name made on the Iranian soil. In this case it will probably represent a certain King Vasudeva of Mathura, a successor of Kanishka. No

Written by W.J.Pais

doubt it can be urged that the <u>Gnostic</u> romancer who wrote the <u>"Acta Thomae"</u>

may have adopted a few historical Indian names to lend verisimilitude to his fabrication, but as Mr. Fleet urges in his severely critical paper "the names put forward here in connection with St.Thomas are distinctly not such as have lived in Indian story and tradition" (Journal of R. Asiatic Soc., 1905, p. 235).

On the other hand, though the tradition that St. Thomas preached in "India" was widely spread in both East and West and is to be found in such writers as Ephraem Syrus, Ambrose

Paulinus,

<u>Jerome</u>

, and, later

Gregory of Tours

and others, still it is difficult to discover any adequate support for the long-accepted belief

that St. Thomas pushed his missionary journeys as far south as Mylapore, not far from Madras

, and there suffered

martyrdom

. In that region is still to be found a granite

bas-relief

cross with a Pahlavi (ancient Persian) inscription

dating

from the seventh century, and the tradition that it was here that St. Thomas laid down his life is locally very strong. Certain it is also that on the Malabar or west coast of southern India

а

body of Christians

still exists using a form of Syriac for its

liturgical

language. Whether this Church dates from the time of St. Thomas the Apostle (there was a Syro-Chaldean

bishop

John "from

India

and

Persia

" who assisted at the

Council of Nicea

in 325) or whether the Gospel was first preached there in 345 owing to the Persian

Written by W.J.Pais

persecution

under Shapur (or Sapor), or whether the Syrian missionaries who accompanied a certain Thomas Cana penetrated to the Malabar coast about the year 745 seems difficult to determine. We

know only that in the sixth century <u>Cosmas Indicopleustes</u> speaks of the existence of <u>Christians</u> at Male (? Malabar) under a <u>bishop</u> who had been <u>consecrated</u> in Persia

King Alfred the Great

is stated in the "Anglo-Saxon Chronicle" to have sent an expedition to establish relations with these

Christians

of the Far East. On the other hand the reputed

<u>relics</u>

of St. Thomas were certainly at

Edessa

in the fourth century, and there they remained until they were translated to Chios in 1258 and towards to Ortona. The improbable suggestion that St. Thomas preached in America (American Eccles. Rev., 1899, pp. 1-18) is based upon a misunderstanding of the text of the Acts of the Apostles (

<u>1:8</u>

; cf. Berchet "Fonte italiane per la storia della scoperta del Nuovo Mondo", II, 236, and I, 44).

Besides the <u>"Acta Thomae"</u> of which a different and notably shorter redaction exists in <u>Ethi</u> opic

and Latin, we have an abbreviated form of a so-called "Gospel of Thomas" originally Gnostic

, as we

know

it now merely a fantastical history of the childhood of

Jesus

, without any notably

heretical

colouring. There is also a "Revelatio Thomae", condemned as

apocryphal

Written by W.J.Pais

in the Degree of Pope Gelasius, which has recently been recovered from various sources in a fragmentary condition (see the full text in the Revue benedictine, 1911, pp. 359-374).

<u>Source</u>